Leadership Agility and Organizational Resilience: An Empirical Study on SMEs in the Manufacturing Sector

Author: Arif Budi Raharja **Affiliation:** STIEYKP, Yogyakarta **Email:** budiraharja1979@gmail.com

Abstract

This study investigates the critical role of **leadership agility** as a catalyst for **organizational resilience** in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) within Indonesia's manufacturing sector. In an increasingly volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (**VUCA**) global environment, SMEs are particularly vulnerable to external shocks, such as supply chain disruptions, technological obsolescence, and economic downturns. Through a rigorous mixed-methods research design, which combines a quantitative survey of 200 SME leaders with qualitative, in-depth interviews with 12 business owners and operational managers, this research identifies the core behavioral competencies and strategic decision-making patterns that enable businesses to not only survive but also thrive amidst disruptions. The study provides strong empirical evidence that agile leadership practices—specifically **adaptive planning**, **emotional intelligence**, and **decentralized decision-making**—are positively and significantly correlated with key indicators of organizational resilience, including business continuity, innovation capacity, and employee retention. The findings underscore that leadership agility is not merely an optional skill set but a fundamental strategic imperative for building long-term, sustainable resilience. This paper concludes with actionable recommendations for policymakers and industry associations to integrate agility frameworks into entrepreneurship training and leadership development programs, thereby strengthening the foundation of Indonesia's manufacturing backbone.

Keywords: leadership agility, organizational resilience, SMEs, manufacturing, Indonesia, VUCA, adaptive planning, emotional intelligence, decentralized decision-making.

1. Introduction

Indonesia's manufacturing sector stands as a pivotal engine of the nation's economic growth, playing a crucial role in job creation, export revenues, and national GDP. A

significant portion of this sector is comprised of **small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)**, which, according to the Ministry of Industry, contribute substantially to the country's industrial output. However, despite their vital role, these SMEs are often more susceptible to external shocks than their larger counterparts. In recent years, the business landscape has been defined by **volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA)**, a reality that has been starkly amplified by events like the COVID-19 pandemic, global supply chain disruptions, and rapid technological advancements. In such a turbulent environment, the traditional, hierarchical, and rigid leadership styles that once sufficed are no longer adequate.

The concept of **leadership agility**—the capacity to rapidly adapt to a changing environment and make effective decisions under pressure—has emerged as a critical competency for navigating this new reality. Agile leaders are those who can foster a culture of adaptability, empower their teams, and respond to challenges with innovative solutions rather than rigid adherence to pre-existing plans. This paper explores the intersection of leadership agility and organizational resilience, defined as the ability of a business to withstand and recover from external shocks while maintaining its strategic objectives. We hypothesize that for manufacturing SMEs in Indonesia, a direct and positive relationship exists between the agility of their leadership and the overall resilience of their organizations.

This study aims to provide a rigorous, empirical analysis of this relationship. We seek to understand the specific agile behaviors and practices that are most effective in the Indonesian manufacturing context and how these practices translate into tangible outcomes such as improved business continuity and enhanced innovation. By answering these questions, this paper offers a crucial contribution to both academic discourse and practical application, providing a foundation for developing a more resilient and dynamic SME sector in Indonesia.

2. Literature Review

The theoretical foundation of this study is built on two primary pillars: **leadership agility** and **organizational resilience**, viewed through the specific lens of the manufacturing SME sector.

2.1. The Concept of Leadership Agility Leadership agility is a multifaceted construct that has gained significant traction in the last two decades as a response to the VUCA environment. Horney, Pasmore, and O'Shea (2010) conceptualized leadership agility as a set of behaviors and capacities that enable leaders to guide their organizations through periods of profound change. They identified several core dimensions of agility, including:

- **Context-Setting Agility:** The ability to understand the complex, ambiguous external environment and articulate a clear, compelling vision for the future.
- **Stakeholder Agility:** The capacity to engage a diverse group of stakeholders—employees, customers, suppliers, and investors—in a way that builds trust and buy-in.
- **Creative Agility:** The skill to foster a culture of innovation and learning, encouraging teams to experiment and find new solutions.
- **Self-Leadership Agility:** The personal resilience and self-awareness to manage one's own emotions and behaviors under pressure. Yukl (2020), in his comprehensive work on leadership, also emphasizes the importance of adaptive leadership, highlighting the need for leaders to change their behavior and strategies in response to situational demands. This literature strongly suggests that agile leadership is a key determinant of an organization's ability to navigate complexity and sustain performance in a dynamic environment.

2.2. The Dimensions of Organizational Resilience Organizational resilience, while a subject of ongoing academic debate, is generally understood as the capacity of an organization to absorb shocks, adapt to a new reality, and recover from a crisis. Studies by the MIT Sloan Management Review (2021) and others have identified three key dimensions of resilience:

- **Robustness:** The ability of an organization to withstand an external shock without significant operational failure. For a manufacturing SME, this could mean having a robust supply chain or a strong financial buffer.
- **Adaptive Capacity:** The ability to make timely and effective changes in response to a crisis. This includes the capacity for decentralized decision-making, rapid re-allocation of resources, and a flexible mindset.
- **Proactive Planning:** The foresight to anticipate potential disruptions and create contingency plans. This goes beyond simple risk management to a strategic orientation toward future volatility. The literature on resilience in the context of SMEs is particularly compelling, as these smaller firms often lack the financial and structural buffers of large corporations, making their capacity for adaptation and recovery even more critical for survival.

2.3. The Indonesian Manufacturing Sector and SMEs The Indonesian manufacturing sector is a complex ecosystem. While large firms dominate key industries, SMEs serve as a crucial backbone, often as suppliers, subcontractors, or producers of niche goods. However, a report from the **Indonesian SMEs Association (2023)** highlighted that these firms face a unique set of challenges, including:

- Limited access to capital and technology.
- Inefficient supply chains.
- A lack of skilled talent and management expertise.
- High vulnerability to commodity price fluctuations and currency instability. The **Kementerian Perindustrian RI (2022)** has also underscored the need for these SMEs to enhance their digital capabilities and operational efficiency to remain competitive. This body of literature provides the essential context for our study, framing the problem not just as a global phenomenon but as a specific, localized challenge for a key sector of the Indonesian economy.

3. Methodology

To provide a comprehensive and robust analysis, this research employed a **sequential mixed-methods research design**. This approach was chosen to leverage the statistical power of quantitative data and the rich, contextual insights of qualitative data, thereby providing a holistic view of the relationship between leadership agility and organizational resilience.

3.1. Quantitative Phase: Survey and Data Collection The quantitative phase involved a survey of **200 SME leaders** from the manufacturing sector in three major industrial regions: **West Java**, **Central Java**, and **Yogyakarta**. The sample was drawn from a variety of sub-sectors, including textiles, food and beverage, and metalworks.

- **Survey Instrument:** The survey instrument was a structured questionnaire with three main sections:
    1. **Leadership Agility:** This section used a Likert scale (1-5, from 'Never' to 'Always') to measure leadership agility behaviors based on the Horney et al. (2010) framework. Questions assessed a leader's ability to make adaptive decisions, empower teams, and engage stakeholders.
    2. **Organizational Resilience:** This section measured resilience using a Likert scale, with questions assessing the company's ability to withstand shocks, its recovery time from disruptions, and its capacity for innovation.
    3. **Firm Demographics:** This section collected data on firm size (number of employees), age, and sub-sector.
- **Data Analysis:** The data was analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the key findings, while inferential analysis, including **correlation and multiple regression**, was performed to test the hypothesis that leadership agility is a predictor of organizational resilience.

3.2. Qualitative Phase: Interviews and Thematic Analysis Following the quantitative analysis, an in-depth qualitative phase was initiated. This involved conducting **12 semi-structured interviews** with a diverse group of SME leaders and operational managers. The

interviewees were selected to represent a range of performance levels, including some from companies that demonstrated high resilience and others from companies that struggled during recent disruptions.

- **Interview Protocol:** The interview questions were designed to explore the nuances of the quantitative findings. For example, we asked leaders to describe a specific crisis they had faced, the decisions they made during that time, and how their teams responded. This provided rich, anecdotal evidence to support the statistical correlations.
- **Data Analysis:** All interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed, and subjected to a rigorous **thematic analysis**. The process involved several stages, from open coding to defining and naming key themes. This approach allowed us to identify recurring patterns in leadership behaviors and decision-making processes that either contributed to or detracted from organizational resilience.

4. Results and Discussion

The analysis of both the quantitative and qualitative data provides strong evidence of a direct and significant relationship between leadership agility and organizational resilience in Indonesian manufacturing SMEs.

4.1. Quantitative Findings: Leadership Agility as a Predictor The quantitative analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between leadership agility and all measured indicators of organizational resilience ($r=0.72$, $p<0.01$). The regression model further confirmed that leadership agility was a significant predictor of a company's ability to maintain business continuity and innovate during crises.

- **Adaptive Planning:** The capacity for adaptive planning—the ability to change plans in response to new information—was the single most influential factor ($\beta=0.45$, $p<0.01$). Leaders who prioritized iterative, short-term planning and frequent feedback loops reported lower disruption impacts during the pandemic and faster recovery times.

- **Decentralized Decision-Making:** The data also showed a significant positive relationship between a decentralized leadership structure and organizational resilience ($r=0.61$, $p<0.01$). This suggests that empowering operational managers and teams to make decisions on the ground, without having to wait for top-down approval, is a crucial component of a rapid and effective crisis response.
- **Emotional Intelligence:** The study found a moderate but significant positive correlation between a leader's self-reported emotional intelligence and their company's employee retention rates during times of uncertainty ($r=0.48$, $p<0.01$). This indicates that leaders who could manage their own stress and communicate with empathy were better at building trust and maintaining morale, which is a key component of resilience.

4.2. Qualitative Insights: The Lived Experience of Agility The in-depth interviews provided rich, qualitative context for the quantitative findings. Three core themes emerged from the thematic analysis:

- **Theme 1: Resilience Capacity is Built, Not Found.** The interviews confirmed that resilience is not a static trait but a capacity that is built over time through agile practices. A business owner in Yogyakarta shared: "When our raw material imports were stuck, we didn't wait. We immediately delegated the problem to our procurement and R&D teams. They found local suppliers and a new material mix in two weeks. That was only possible because they already had the autonomy to make that call." This quote illustrates how decentralized decision-making translated into a tangible increase in adaptive capacity.
- **Theme 2: Continuous Learning as a Survival Tool.** The interviewees repeatedly emphasized the importance of a **learning orientation**. They described how informal "retrospective" meetings, where teams discussed what went wrong and what they learned, became a critical habit during the pandemic. This continuous learning cycle allowed them to pivot their strategies quickly and effectively. For example, a textile factory manager in West Java explained how his team used these lessons to shift from producing garments for export to manufacturing personal

protective equipment (PPE) for the local market, a pivot that was crucial for their survival.

- **Theme 3: The Power of Empowerment.** Leaders who actively practiced empowerment, giving their employees autonomy and responsibility, were able to foster a culture of trust and shared ownership. This empowerment was found to be a key driver of innovation. A business owner in Central Java, whose company pivoted to an e-commerce model during the lockdown, noted, "I gave my marketing team full autonomy to launch our online store. I trusted them. This trust was what gave them the confidence to innovate and succeed."

These qualitative findings, when combined with the quantitative data, paint a clear and compelling picture: agile leadership practices are not just a set of management tools but a fundamental driver of a resilient, adaptable, and innovative organizational culture.

5. Strategic Recommendations

The findings of this research have significant implications for SME leaders, policymakers, and business development agencies in Indonesia. To foster a more resilient and dynamic manufacturing sector, we propose a strategic roadmap with the following recommendations:

5.1. For SME Leaders:

- **Prioritize Adaptive Planning:** Shift from rigid, long-term business plans to flexible, iterative planning cycles. Use short-term sprints (e.g., weekly or bi-weekly) to set goals, gather feedback, and adjust strategies in real-time.
- **Cultivate Emotional Intelligence:** Invest in training for leaders and managers to develop their emotional intelligence. This includes skills such as self-awareness, empathy, and effective communication, which are crucial for building trust and morale during periods of uncertainty.
- **Decentralize Decision-Making:** Empower operational managers and teams to make decisions without needing constant approval from the top. This will increase response time and foster a culture of ownership and accountability.

- **Foster a Learning Culture:** Institutionalize regular feedback sessions and "retrospective" meetings where teams can openly discuss what worked and what didn't. This will create a continuous learning cycle, enabling the organization to adapt more quickly to future changes.

5.2. For Policymakers and SME Development Agencies:

- **Integrate Agile into Training Programs:** Incorporate leadership agility frameworks, such as adaptive planning and creative agility, into entrepreneurship training and SME development programs.
- **Provide Mentorship and Coaching:** Create programs that connect agile leaders from successful SMEs with those who are in the early stages of their transformation. This peer-to-peer mentorship can be a powerful tool for knowledge transfer and cultural change.
- **Incentivize Digital Transformation:** Provide incentives or subsidies for SMEs to adopt digital tools and platforms that enable agile collaboration and decentralized decision-making.

6. Conclusion

The era of predictable business environments is over. For Indonesian manufacturing SMEs to not only survive but thrive, they must transform their leadership and organizational culture. This study has provided empirical evidence that **leadership agility** is a vital and direct contributor to **organizational resilience**. Agile leaders, through their capacity for adaptive planning, emotional intelligence, and decentralized decision-making, are able to cultivate a culture of responsiveness and continuous learning—foundational elements of a resilient organization.

The findings underscore that the investment required for this transformation is not solely financial but also cultural. It requires a shift in mindset from control to empowerment, from rigid planning to adaptive learning. By prioritizing these agile leadership practices, Indonesian SMEs can build a robust, adaptable, and innovative foundation that will enable them to withstand external shocks and sustain their crucial role as engines of economic

growth. Future research should build on these findings by conducting a longitudinal study to measure the long-term impact of agile leadership on the financial performance of SMEs in Indonesia's manufacturing sector.

References

Horney, N., Pasmore, B., & O'Shea, T. (2010). Leadership Agility: A Business Imperative for a VUCA World. *HRPS Journal*.

Indonesian SMEs Association. (2023). *Tantangan UMKM Manufaktur di Era Digital*. Jakarta.

Kementerian Perindustrian RI. (2022). *Laporan Industri Manufaktur Nasional*. Retrieved from www.kemenperin.go.id

MIT Sloan Management Review. (2021). *Building Organizational Resilience through Agile Leadership*. Retrieved from www.sloanreview.mit.edu

Yukl, G. (2020). *Leadership in Organizations* (9th ed.). Pearson Education. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory*. Prentice-Hall.

Morgan, D., & Schwenk, C. (2018). *Strategic Management in Volatile Environments*.

Routledge. Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2007). *Psychological Capital: Developing the Human Competitive Edge*. Oxford University Press.

Ries, E. (2011). *The Lean Startup*. Crown Business. Schoemaker, P. J. H., & Day, G. S. (2016). *A Leader's Guide to Corporate Agility*. Harvard Business Review.